



R F D

(Results-Framework Document)

for

Ministry of Panchayati Raj

(2012-2013)

Section 1: Vision, Mission, Objectives and Functions

Vision

To attain decentralised & participatory local self-government through Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs).

Mission

Empowerment, enablement & accountability of PRIs to ensure inclusive development with social justice and effective delivery of service.

Objectives

1 Empowerment

- (i) Progressive devolution of Functions, Funds and Functionaries (3Fs) upon the Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs)
- (ii) Enhancing reservation for women in PRIs from 33% to 50%.
- (iii) Implementation of Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas (PESA) Act, 1996.
- (iv) Deepening decentralised governance in the Sixth Schedule Areas.

2 Enablement

- (i) Building the organisational capacity of PRIs, the professional capacity of Elected Representatives and functionaries so that they can perform their mandated roles effectively..
- (ii) Institutionalizing and using integrated decentralized participatory planning through the PRIs and DPCs for convergence of plethora of schemes and pooling of diverse resources for better outcomes.
- (iii) Mitigating regional backwardness through PRIs.

3 Accountability

- (i) Supporting Panchayats to achieve transparency and accountability in their functioning through e-enablement.
- (iii) Strengthening Gram Sabhas for effective social audit to ensure transparency and accountability of PRIs.

Functions

- 1 All matters relating to Panchayati Raj (PR) and PRIs.
- 2 DPCs and Integrated Decentralized Participatory Planning.
- 3 Implementation of Panchayat Extension to Scheduled Area (PESA) Act, 1996.

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%
[1] Empowerment - (i) Progressive devolution of Functions, Funds and Functionaries (3Fs) upon the Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs)	15.00	[1.1] Incentivizing States for Devolution	[1.1.1] Preparation of the Study Report for ranking States as per the extent of Devolution.	Date	7.00	28/02/2013	15/03/2013	20/03/2013	25/03/2013	31/03/2013
			[1.1.2] Disbursing incentive amount to better performing States/UTs.	Date	5.00	10/03/2013	15/03/2013	20/03/2013	25/03/2013	31/03/2013
		[1.2] Developing strategy for Management Devolution Index (MDI).	[1.2.1] Development of a strategy for MDI to be followed	Date	3.00	31/10/2012	30/11/2012	31/12/2012	31/01/2013	29/02/2013
[2] (ii) Enhancing reservation for women in PRIs from 33% to 50%.	5.00	[2.1] Introduction of Amendment to the Bill as suggested by Standing Committee of the Parliament.	[2.1.1] Introduction of Bill to the Parliament.	Date	3.00	30/09/2012	31/12/2012	31/01/2013	28/02/2013	31/03/2013
		[2.2] Reservation for women in Sixth Schedule Areas.	[2.2.1] Draft Amendment of State and Council Laws and consultation with the States.	Number of States	2.00	5	4	3	2	1
[3] (iii) Implementation of Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas (PESA) Act, 1996.	3.00	[3.1] Supporting PESA States in making their laws PESA compliant	[3.1.1] State laws to become PESA compliant.	Number of States to whom feedback provided after analysis of laws	3.00	9	7	5	3	2
[4] (iv) Deepening decentralised governance in the Sixth Schedule Areas.	2.00	[4.1] Draft amendments and consultation	[4.1.1] Completion of consultation	Number of States	2.00	5	4	3	2	1

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%
[5] Enablement - (i) Building the organisational capacity of PRIs, the professional capacity of Elected Representatives and functionaries so that they can perform their mandated roles effectively..	25.00	[5.1] Capacity Building of Functionaries and Elected Representatives of PRIs through Training.	[5.1.1] Training programmes to be organized.	Participa nt Trained (In lakhs)	5.00	10.00	8.00	7.00	6.00	5.00
		[5.2] Provide Infrastructure support to GPs through construction of Panchayat Ghars.	[5.2.1] Panchayat Ghars to be sanctioned.	No. of Panchay at Ghars	5.00	1000	900	800	700	500
			[5.2.2] Panchayat Ghars to be constructed.	No. of Panchay at Ghars	3.00	500	300	200	100	50
		[5.3] Preparing of Rajeev Gandhi Panchayat Sashaktikaran Abhiyan (RGPSA) Guidelines and moving of Note for EFC	[5.3.1] Finalization of RGPSA Guidelines and moving of Note for EFC.	Date	5.00	30/06/2012	30/09/2012	31/01/2013	28/02/2013	31/03/2013
[6] (ii) Institutionalizing and using integrated decentralized participatory planning through the PRIs and DPCs for convergence of plethora of schemes and pooling of diverse resources for better outcomes.	5.00	[5.4] Incentivising Panchayats to perform better.	[5.4.1] Selection of best performing Panchayats in States as per transparent indicators and process.	Number of States/U Ts	5.00	25	20	15	10	8
		[5.5] Publishing of best initiatives taken by the Panchayats.	[5.5.1] Publishing of Panchayat best initiatives.	Date	2.00	31/05/2012	31/08/2012	31/10/2012	31/12/2012	15/01/2013
[7] (iii) Mitigating regional backwardness through PRIs.	15.00	[6.1] Decentralized District Plans prepared by States.	[6.1.1] Number of District Plans prepared.	Number of District Plans	5.00	300	250	200	150	140
		[7.1] Ensure that DPCs consolidate and approve the District Plans.	[7.1.1] No. of Plans consolidated and approved by DPCs.	Number of Plans	5.00	300	250	200	150	140

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%
[8] Accountability - (i) Supporting Panchayats to achieve transparency and accountability in their functioning through e-enablement.	10.00	[7.2] Expeditiously sanction the eligible grants under BRGF.	[7.2.1] Sanction Orders issued within 15 days of receipt of proposals from the States, complete in all respects.	No. of days	5.00	15	18	21	24	25
		[7.3] Publish the sanction orders on MoPR portal for public view.	[7.3.1] Within 2 days.	No. of days	3.00	2	4	6	8	10
		[7.4] Fully transfer the Budget allocation to States/ Districts	[7.4.1] Utilization of Budget Allocation.	Percentage of Allocation	2.00	100	90	80	70	60
		[8.1] Development and Roll out of remaining seven (7) core common applications, namely (a)Area Profiler, (b) Action Soft,(c) Asset Directory, (d) Service Plus, (e) Social Audit, (f) Trainings Management and (g) GIS.	[8.1.1] Roll out and testing of 7 Applications	Tested by States	3.00	20	15	10	8	6
		[8.2] Adoption of 7 Applications developed under PES by States.	[8.2.1] Deployment of PES applications by 20 States.	Adopted by States	3.00	20	15	10	8	6
		[8.3] Setting up of Programme Management Units (PMUs) in all States and Districts all over the country.	[8.3.1] Operationalization of PMUs by 20 States.	Number of States	2.00	20	15	10	8	6
		[8.4] ICT Training of PRIs and Master Trainers.	[8.4.1] Trained Master Trainer in Districts.	No. of Master trainer per	2.00	20	15	10	8	6

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%
[9] (iii) Strengthening Gram Sabhas for effective social audit to ensure transparency and accountability of PRIs.				distt.						
	5.00	[9.1] Have regular Gram Sabha meetings and widen the topics.	[9.1.1] To visit the States and attend the Gram Sabha Meeting.	Number of States	3.00	15	12	10	8	6
		[9.2] Make Village Councils outside PRI areas accountable to Gram Sabha in North Eastern States.	[9.2.1] Prepare draft amendments to Council laws and consult with the North Eastern States.	Number of States	2.00	6	5	4	3	2
* Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	3.00	Timely submission of Draft for Approval	On-time submission	Date	2.0	05/03/2012	06/03/2012	07/03/2012	08/03/2012	09/03/2012
		Timely submission of Results	On- time submission	Date	1.0	01/05/2012	03/05/2012	04/05/2012	05/05/2012	06/05/2012
* Administrative Reforms	6.00	Implement mitigating strategies for reducing potential risk of corruption	% of implementation	%	2.0	100	95	90	85	80
		Implement ISO 9001 as per the approved action plan	Area of operations covered	%	2.0	100	95	90	85	80
		Identify, design and implement major innovations	Implementation of identified innovations	Date	2.0	05/03/2013	06/03/2013	07/03/2013	08/03/2013	09/03/2013
* Improving Internal Efficiency / responsiveness / service delivery of Ministry / Department	4.00	Implementation of Sevottam	Independent Audit of Implementation of Citizen's Charter	%	2.0	100	95	90	85	80
			Independent Audit of implementation of public grievance redressal system	%	2.0	100	95	90	85	80
* Ensuring compliance to the Financial Accountability Framework	2.00	Timely submission of ATNs on Audit paras of C&AG	Percentage of ATNs submitted within due date (4 months) from date of presentation of Report to Parliament by CAG during the year.	%	0.5	100	90	80	70	60

* Mandatory Objective(s)

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent 100%	Very Good 90%	Good 80%	Fair 70%	Poor 60%
		Timely submission of ATRs to the PAC Sectt. on PAC Reports.	Percentage of ATRs submitted within due date (6 months) from date of presentation of Report to Parliament by PAC during the year.	%	0.5	100	90	80	70	60
		Early disposal of pending ATNs on Audit Paras of C&AG Reports presented to Parliament before 31.3.2012.	Percentage of outstanding ATNs disposed off during the year.	%	0.5	100	90	80	70	60
		Early disposal of pending ATRs on PAC Reports presented to Parliament before 31.3.2012	Percentage of outstanding ATRs disposed off during the year.	%	0.5	100	90	80	70	60

* Mandatory Objective(s)

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value FY 10/11	Actual Value FY 11/12	Target Value FY 12/13	Projected Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15
[1] Empowerment - (i) Progressive devolution of Functions, Funds and Functionaries (3Fs) upon the Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs)	[1.1] Incentivizing States for Devolution	[1.1.1] Preparation of the Study Report for ranking States as per the extent of Devolution.	Date	--	--	28/02/2013	28/02/2014	28/02/2015
		[1.1.2] Disbursing incentive amount to better performing States/UTs.	Date	--	--	15/03/2013	15/03/2014	15/03/2015
	[1.2] Developing strategy for Management Devolution Index (MDI).	[1.2.1] Development of a strategy for MDI to be followed	Date	--	--	31/10/2012	--	--
		[2.1] Introduction of Amendment to the Bill as suggested by Standing Committee of the Parliament.	Date	--	--	30/09/2012	--	--
[2] (ii) Enhancing reservation for women in PRIs from 33% to 50%.	[2.2] Reservation for women in Sixth Schedule Areas.	[2.2.1] Draft Amendment of State and Council Laws and consultation with the States.	Number of States	--	--	5	6	6
[3] (iii) Implementation of Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas (PESA) Act, 1996.	[3.1] Supporting PESA States in making their laws PESA compliant	[3.1.1] State laws to become PESA compliant.	Number of States to whom feedback provided after analysis of laws	--	9	9	9	9
[4] (iv) Deepening decentralised governance in the Sixth Schedule Areas.	[4.1] Draft amendments and consultation	[4.1.1] Completion of consultation	Number of States	--	6	5	6	6
[5] Enablement - (i) Building the organisational capacity of PRIs, the professional capacity of Elected	[5.1] Capacity Building of Functionaries and Elected Representatives of	[5.1.1] Training programmes to be organized.	Participant Trained (in	11.65	1.60	10.00	12.00	15.00

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value FY 10/11	Actual Value FY 11/12	Target Value FY 12/13	Projected Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15
Representatives and functionaries so that they can perform their mandated roles effectively..	PRIs through Training.		lakhs)					
	[5.2] Provide Infrastructure support to GPs through construction of Panchayat Ghars.	[5.2.1] Panchayat Ghars to be sanctioned.	No. of Panchayat Ghars	940	719	1000	1500	2000
		[5.2.2] Panchayat Ghars to be constructed.	No. of Panchayat Ghars	--	--	500	700	900
	[5.3] Preparing of Rajeev Gandhi Panchayat Sashaktikaran Abhiyan (RGPSA) Guidelines and moving of Note for EFC	[5.3.1] Finalization of RGPSA Guidelines and moving of Note for EFC.	Date	--	--	30/06/2012	--	--
[6] (ii) Institutionalizing and using integrated decentralized participatory planning through the PRIs and DPCs for convergence of plethora of schemes and pooling of diverse resources for better outcomes.	[5.4] Incentivising Panchayats to perform better.	[5.4.1] Selection of best performing Panchayats in States as per transparent indicators and process.	Number of States/UTs	--	--	25	28	31
	[5.5] Publishing of best initiatives taken by the Panchayats.	[5.5.1] Publishing of Panchayat best initiatives.	Date	--	--	31/05/2012	31/05/2013	31/05/2014
	[6.1] Decentralized District Plans prepared by States.	[6.1.1] Number of District Plans prepared.	Number of District Plans	249	184	300	300	300
[7] (iii) Mitigating regional backwardness through PRIs.	[7.1] Ensure that DPCs consolidate and	[7.1.1] No. of Plans consolidated and	Number of Plans	249	184	300	300	300

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value FY 10/11	Actual Value FY 11/12	Target Value FY 12/13	Projected Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15
[8] Accountability - (i) Supporting Panchayats to achieve transparency and accountability in their functioning through e-enablement.	approve the District Plans.	approved by DPCs.						
	[7.2] Expeditiously sanction the eligible grants under BRGF.	[7.2.1] Sanction Orders issued within 15 days of receipt of proposals from the States, complete in all respects.	No. of days	11	12	15	15	15
	[7.3] Publish the sanction orders on MoPR portal for public view.	[7.3.1] Within 2 days.	No. of days	2	2	2	2	2
	[7.4] Fully transfer the Budget allocation to States/ Districts	[7.4.1] Utilization of Budget Allocation.	Percentage of Allocation	100	100	100	100	100
	[8.1] Development and Roll out of remaining seven (7) core common applications, namely (a) Area Profiler, (b) Action Soft, (c) Asset Directory, (d) Service Plus, (e) Social Audit, (f) Trainings Management and (g) GIS.	[8.1.1] Roll out and testing of 7 Applications	Tested by States	--	5	20	25	25
	[8.2] Adoption of 7 Applications developed under PES by States.	[8.2.1] Deployment of PES applications by 20 States.	Adopted by States	--	--	20	22	25
	[8.3] Setting up of Programme Management Units (PMUs) in all States and Districts all over the country.	[8.3.1] Operationalization of PMUs by 20 States.	Number of States	--	--	20	22	25
	[8.4] ICT Training of PRIs and Master Trainers.	[8.4.1] Trained Master Trainer in Districts.	No. of Master	--	--	20	22	25

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value FY 10/11	Actual Value FY 11/12	Target Value FY 12/13	Projected Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15
[9] (iii) Strengthening Gram Sabhas for effective social audit to ensure transparency and accountability of PRIs.			trainer per distt.					
	[9.1] Have regular Gram Sabha meetings and widen the topics.	[9.1.1] To visit the States and attend the Gram Sabha Meeting.	Number of States	--	6	15	20	25
	[9.2] Make Village Councils outside PRI areas accountable to Gram Sabha in North Eastern States.	[9.2.1] Prepare draft amendments to Council laws and consult with the North Eastern States.	Number of States	--	--	6	7	--
* Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	Timely submission of Draft for Approval	On-time submission	Date	05/03/2010	07/03/2011	06/03/2012	--	--
	Timely submission of Results	On- time submission	Date	02/05/2011	--	03/05/2012	--	--
	Implement mitigating strategies for reducing potential risk of corruption	% of implementation	%	--	--	95	--	--
* Administrative Reforms	Implement ISO 9001 as per the approved action plan	Area of operations covered	%	--	--	95	--	--
	Identify, design and implement major innovations	Implementation of identified innovations	Date	--	--	06/03/2013	--	--
	Implementation of Sevottam	Independent Audit of Implementation of Citizen's Charter	%	--	--	95	--	--
* Improving Internal Efficiency / responsiveness / service delivery of Ministry / Department		Independent Audit of implementation of public grievance redressal system	%	--	--	95	--	--
		Percentage of ATNs submitted within due date (4 months) from	%	--	--	90	--	--
	Timely submission of ATNs on Audit paras of C&AG							

* Mandatory Objective(s)

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value FY 10/11	Actual Value FY 11/12	Target Value FY 12/13	Projected Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15
		date of presentation of Report to Parliament by CAG during the year.						
	Timely submission of ATRs to the PAC Sectt. on PAC Reports.	Percentage of ATRS submitted within due date (6 months) from date of presentation of Report to Parliament by PAC during the year.	%	--	--	90	--	--
	Early disposal of pending ATRs on Audit Paras of C&AG Reports presented to Parliament before 31.3.2012.	Percentage of outstanding ATRNs disposed off during the year.	%	--	--	90	--	--
	Early disposal of pending ATRs on PAC Reports presented to Parliament before 31.3.2012	Percentage of outstanding ATRS disposed off during the year.	%	--	--	90	--	--

* Mandatory Objective(s)

Section 4:

Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

Objective	Success Indicator	Definitions & Explanation of Success Indicator
1. Progressive devolution of Functions, Funds and Functionaries (3Fs) upon the Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs).	Preparation of the Study Report for ranking States/UTs as per the extent of Devolution. Disbursing incentive amount to better performing States/UTs. Development of a strategy for MDI to be followed.	Includes the preparation of a Devolution Index and ranking of States on the basis of the Index. This includes study of scheme guidelines like (i) NRHM; (ii) SSA etc and suggesting draft MDI and delineating roles and responsibilities for PRI therein.
2. Enhancing reservation for women in PRIs from 33% to 50%.	Introduction of Bill to the Parliament. Draft Amendment of State and Council Laws and consultation with the States.	Self-explanatory.
3. Implementation of PESA Act, 1996.	State laws and feedback to States.	State Governments initiatives are important to make State laws PESA compliant.
4. Deepening decentralized governance in the Sixth Schedule Areas	Completion of Consultation.	To make traditional local bodies more accountable to local communities.
5. Building the organisation capacity of PRIs and the professional capacity of Elected Representatives and Official functionaries so that they can perform their mandated roles effectively.	Training Programmes to be organized	The capacity building needs of Elected Representatives / functionaries of PRIs are often urgent, as they are expected to plan, take decisions, and administer the Panchayats etc.
	Panchayat Ghars to be sanctioned.	PRIs often lack basic office space, manpower & infrastructure. Provision of the same is a must to enable them to function properly.
	Finalisation of scheme Guidelines and preparation of EFC Note.	In order to strengthen the Panchayati Raj system across the country and address the critical deficiencies that constrain the functioning of Panchayats an umbrella scheme with nomenclature RGPSA is proposed to be implemented by MoPR during the Twelfth Five Year Plan.
	Selection of best performing Panchayats in States as per transparent indicators and process.	Includes the preparation of a Devolution Index and ranking of States on the basis of the Index.
	Publishing of Panchayat best initiatives.	Publishing of Best Panchayat initiatives on the Internet.

Section 4:

Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

Objective	Success Indicator	Definitions & Explanation of Success Indicator
6. Institutionalizing and using integrated decentralized participatory planning through the PRIs and DPCs for convergence of plethora of schemes and pooling of diverse resources for better outcomes.	Number of District Plans prepared by States.	Under the Planning Commission guidelines of 25th August, 2006, the States are to prepare the State Plans on the basis of the decentralized district plans. MoPR monitors the preparation of District Plans in the 250 BRGF districts.
7. Mitigating regional backwardness through PRIs.	No. of Plans consolidated and approved by DPCs.	DPCs are to consolidate and approve the plans prepared by local bodies into District Plan. In areas where Part-IX of the Constitution is not applicable, Planning and Implementation Committees or equivalent bodies constituted for the purpose at the district level will consolidate and approve the District Plan. No. of such Plans consolidated and approved by DPCs and other similar bodies will be used as indicator.
	Sanction Orders issued within 15 days of receipt of proposals from the States, complete in all respects.	Indicator will measure the number of days taken to sanction the releases on receipt of proposals complete in all respects.
	Publish the sanction orders on MoPR portal for public view (Within 2 Days).	The Ministry will publish the sanction orders on the MoPR Portal in order to ensure transparency and quick communication to the implementing entities so that pro-active actions could be taken for quick transfer of funds and consequent expeditious implementation of the BRGF. The number of days the Ministry takes to publish the sanction orders in the Portal of the Ministry will be an indicator.
	Percentage of Budget Estimates (Fully transfer the Budget allocation to States / Districts).	The full budgetary allocations under the BRGF should reach to the implementing entities as per the mandate of the Parliament. The Ministry will release the funds after ensuring that effective utilisation was done for grants released earlier by examining Utilisation Certificates, Physical and Financial Progress Reports and Audit Reports.

Section 4:

Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

Objective	Success Indicator	Definitions & Explanation of Success Indicator
8. Supporting Panchayats to achieve transparency and accountability in their functioning through e-enablement	Roll out and testing of seven Applications Deployment of PES applications by states Operationalization of PMUs by the States. Trained manpower in districts	This includes detailed inter –action with the NIC/NICSI, States and other stakeholders for development of softwares for core common applications under e-Panchayat MMP.
9. Strengthening Gram Sabhas at the core of PRIs for effective social audit to ensure transparency and accountability of the Gram Panchayats.	To visit the States and attend the Gram Sabha meeting.	Gram Panchayats are generally required to hold at least 4 Gram Sabha meetings in a year, which is key to the inclusive self-governance and transparent & accountable functioning of the Gram Panchayats.
	Prepare draft amendments to Council laws and consult with the North Eastern States.	Village Councils outside PRI areas should be accountable to Gram Sabha in North Eastern States.

Section 5: Specific Performance Requirements from other Departments

Department	Success Indicator	What do you need?	Why do you need it?	How much you need?	What happens if you do not get it?
Central Ministries	Preparation of the Study Report for ranking States/UTs as per the extent of Devolution.	Central Ministries to amend their schemes guidelines in accordance with MoPR advisory dated 19.1.09 and incorporate therein MDI.	Only Central Ministries can reformulate their own guidelines	Not relevant	3Fs would not be devolved properly.
9 PESA States	State laws and feedback to States.	Amendment of State Panchayati Raj laws and subject laws wherever required.	PESA has to be implemented by State Governments	From all 9 PESA States.	PESA Act would largely remain unimplemented if Panchayati Raj laws are not PESA complaint.
All State Govts.	Training Programmes to be organized	Elected Representative & Functionaries of PRIs	For building their capacity through Training.	States are responsible.	Panchayats may not be able to function effectively.
All State Govts.	Panchayat Ghars to be sanctioned.	Proposals from State Govts.	Panchayats offices to be provided for better functionaries of PRIs.	States are responsible.	Panchayats may not be able to function effectively.

Section 5: Specific Performance Requirements from other Departments

Department	Success Indicator	What do you need?	Why do you need it?	How much you need?	What happens if you do not get it?
All State Govts.	Number of District Plans prepared by States.	Ensure that DPCs consolidate the District Plans	For convergence of plethora of schemes and diverse resource for better outcomes.	Every district covered under the Programme prepares integrated district plans.	Decentralized, integrated planning not realized.
	No. of Plans consolidated and approved by DPCs.	and HPCs approve District Plans			
All State Govts.	Roll out of seven Applications	Deployment of technical manpower to maintain their accounts as per MAS and also adopt PRIASoft and other software.	Under Article 243 J, State legislature only can make provision with respect to the Panchayat accounts	All State Governments should adopt these softwares.	The desired Financial Management System would not be in place for MIS/DSS. Non compliance would also result in losing the performance grant component under 13th FC.

Section 6: Outcome/Impact of Department/Ministry

Outcome/Impact of Department/Ministry	Jointly responsible for influencing this outcome / impact with the following department (s) / ministry(ies)	Success Indicator	Unit	FY 10/11	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15
1 Devolution of 3F's (Functions, Funds and Functionaries) to PRIs through Activity Mapping.	State Governments, Central Ministries/Departments dealing with social sectors and Panchayati Raj Institutions.	Number of States where incremental devolution of powers to PRIs has taken place.	Number	13	13	13	13	13
2 Enhanced compliance with PESA [Panchayat (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act]	State Governments/Ministry of Tribal Affairs/ Ministry of Forest & Environment/Department of Land Resources (Ministry of Rural Development)	PESA compliant laws in the surveyed States.	Percentage (%)	20	25	35	45	50
3 Deepening decentralized governance	State Governments/Ministry of Urban Development	States that have constituted the District Planning Committees	Number	23	24	24	24	24
4 Greater involvement of people in decentralized planning process.	Ministry of Urban Development, Tribal Affairs and Home Affairs/State Governments.	States that have involved Gram Sabhas, Ward Sabhas and other local bodies (in Sixth Schedule Areas) in preparation of District Plans	Number	27	27	27	27	27
5 Reduction in regional backwardness.	Planning Commission, Ministry of Rural Development and other Central Ministries dealing with Social and Infrastructure Sector and State Governments. (To be decided.)	Backward districts to provide reasonable need based infrastructure in the area.	%		25	25	25	25
6 Enhanced transparency and accountability of PRIs	State Governments.	PRIs reaching satisfactory levels of transparency and accountability.	Percentage.		25	25	25	25
7 Training & Capacity Building of Elected Representatives of PRIs	State Governments	All the representatives to get training at least once during his/her tenure.	Number (in Lakhs)		10	15	20	28